Family Name	Pope
Given Name	Irene
Company / Organisation	Rochdale and Bury Bridleways Association
Person ID	1286007
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286007_Bridleways_Redacted.pdf
Family Name	Pope
Given Name	Irene
Company / Organisation	Rochdale and Bury Bridleways Association
Person ID	1286007
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286007_Bridleways_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	traffic and a change in the surface of the way, thus the plans do not protect or enhance the PROW.
Redacted modification	There are two possibilities.
- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	-The developer provides a new route to replace the PROW they are to use as an access road. However, the following requirement from the DEFRA Rights of Way Circular must be in place.
	'Paragraph 7.8 of Defra circular 1/09: any alternative route should avoid the use of estate roads wherever possible, with preference given to the use of made up estate paths through landscaped or open space areas, away from vehicular traffic.'
	The alternative bridleway needs to be in place before development begins to keep the PROW users safe during the construction phase.
	-The developer constructs a new access road to its development, avoiding the PROW.
Family Name	Pope

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	1 lades for Everyone Representation 2021
Given Name	Irene
Company / Organisation	Rochdale and Bury Bridleways Association
Person ID	1286007
Title	JPA 21: Crimble Mill
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1286007_Bridleways_Redacted.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The plans do not comply with NPPF paragraph 100 relating to Rights of Way. Using PROW as access roads to development sites is detrimental to their safety for legal users and their character because of increased vehicular traffic and a change in the surface of the way, thus the plans do not protect or enhance the PROW.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	There are two possibilities.
	-The developer provides a new route to replace the PROW they are to use as an access road. However, the following requirement from the DEFRA Rights of Way Circular must be in place.
	'Paragraph 7.8 of Defra circular 1/09: any alternative route should avoid the use of estate roads wherever possible, with preference given to the use of made up estate paths through landscaped or open space areas, away from vehicular traffic.'
	The alternative bridleway needs to be in place before development begins to keep the PROW users safe during the construction phase.
	-The developer constructs a new access road to its development, avoiding the PROW.